

Digraphs that have at most one walk of a given length with the same endpoints¹

Zejun Huang and Xingzhi Zhan

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University
Shanghai 200241, China

Abstract. Let $\Theta(n, k)$ be the set of digraphs of order n that have at most one walk of length k with the same endpoints. Let $\theta(n, k)$ be the maximum number of arcs of a digraph in $\Theta(n, k)$. We prove that if $n \geq 5$ and $k \geq n - 1$ then $\theta(n, k) = n(n-1)/2$ and this maximum number is attained at D if and only if D is a transitive tournament. $\theta(n, n-2)$ and $\theta(n, n-3)$ are also determined.

Keywords. digraph, walk, number of arcs, tournament

Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C20, 05C35, 05C50, 15A99

1. Introduction

Digraphs in this paper allow loops but do not allow multiple arcs. We follow the terminology in [1] and [2]. The number of the vertices of a digraph is called its *order* and the number of the arcs its *size*. For digraphs, cycles and walks will mean directed cycles and directed walks respectively.

For given positive integers n, k , let $\Theta(n, k)$ denote the set of the digraphs D on vertices $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that for any i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, D has at most one walk of length k from i to j . Let $\theta(n, k)$ denote the maximum size of a digraph in $\Theta(n, k)$. In 2007 the second-named author posed the following problem at a seminar:

Problem 1. *For given positive integers n, k , determine $\theta(n, k)$ and determine the digraphs in $\Theta(n, k)$ that attain the size $\theta(n, k)$.*

Note that the possible sizes of the digraphs in $\Theta(n, k)$ are the integers in the interval $[0, \theta(n, k)]$. In fact, if $D \in \Theta(n, k)$ has size $\theta(n, k)$ and m is an integer in $[0, \theta(n, k)]$, then deleting any $\theta(n, k) - m$ arcs in D we obtain a digraph $D_1 \in \Theta(n, k)$

¹e-mail: huangzejun@yahoo.cn (Huang), zhan@math.ecnu.edu.cn (Zhan). Corresponding author: X. Zhan, Tel: 86-21-54377902, Fax: 86-21-54342609. This research was supported by the NSFC grant 10971070.

with size m . The motivation for studying Problem 1 is to explore the relation between the size and the walks of a digraph. Intuitively digraphs in $\Theta(n, k)$ cannot have very large sizes.

The case $k = 2$ of Problem 1 has been solved by Wu [5] whose result is

$$\theta(n, 2) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2+4n-1}{4}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n^2+4n-4}{4}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \neq 4, \\ 8, & \text{if } n = 4 \end{cases}$$

and the digraphs attaining this largest size are also determined in [5].

A digraph is said to be *transitive* if for every three distinct vertices v_i, v_j, v_k the condition that (v_i, v_j) and (v_j, v_k) are arcs implies that (v_i, v_k) is an arc. It is clear that a tournament of order n is transitive if and only if its vertices can be labeled as $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that (i, j) is an arc if and only if $i < j$. Two useful references on tournaments are [3, 4]. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1. *Let n, k be given integers with $n \geq 5$ and $k \geq n - 1$. Then $\theta(n, k) = n(n - 1)/2$ and a digraph $D \in \Theta(n, k)$ has size $n(n - 1)/2$ if and only if D is a transitive tournament.*

Throughout we denote by $M_n\{0, 1\}$ the set of 0-1 matrices of order n . For given positive integers n, k denote $\Gamma(n, k) = \{A \in M_n\{0, 1\} | A^k \in M_n\{0, 1\}\}$. Denote by $f(A)$ the number of 1's in a matrix A . Define

$$\gamma(n, k) = \max\{f(A) | A \in \Gamma(n, k)\}.$$

Considering the adjacency matrix of a digraph we see that Problem 1 is equivalent to the following

Problem 1'. *For given positive integers n, k , determine $\gamma(n, k)$ and determine the matrices in $\Gamma(n, k)$ that attain $\gamma(n, k)$.*

Of course, $\theta(n, k) = \gamma(n, k)$.

We denote by

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the upper triangular tournament matrix of order n . A digraph of order n is a transitive tournament if and only if its adjacency matrix is permutation similar to T_n . Thus, Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following

Theorem 1'. *Let n, k be given integers with $n \geq 5$ and $k \geq n - 1$. Then $\gamma(n, k) = n(n - 1)/2$ and a matrix $A \in \Gamma(n, k)$ satisfies $f(A) = n(n - 1)/2$ if and only if A is permutation similar to T_n .*

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1'. In Section 3 we determine $\gamma(n, n - 2)$ and $\gamma(n, n - 3)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1'

The digraph of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of order n , denoted $D(A)$, is the digraph on vertices $1, 2, \dots, n$ in which (i, j) is an arc with tail i and head j if and only if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. It is clear that for $A \in M_n\{0, 1\}$, $A \in \Gamma(n, k)$ if and only if $D(A) \in \Theta(n, k)$. A p -cycle is a cycle of length p .

For a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of order n and integers $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t \leq n$, we denote by $A[i_1, \dots, i_t]$ the principal submatrix of A indexed by i_1, \dots, i_t and we denote by $A(i)$ the principal submatrix of A of order $n - 1$ obtained by deleting the i -th row and i -th column.

Let A^T denote the transpose of a matrix A . We will use the following fact repeatedly: $A \in \Gamma(n, k)$ if and only if $PAP^T \in \Gamma(n, k)$ for any permutation matrix P if and only if $A^T \in \Gamma(n, k)$.

Lemma 1. *Let $A \in \Gamma(n, k)$. Then the following statements on $D(A)$ hold.*

(i) *If $k \geq 2$, two loops cannot be connected by an arc. If $k \geq 3$, two loops cannot be connected by a walk of length 2.*

(ii) *If $k \geq 2$, a loop and a 2-cycle are disjoint. If $k \geq 3$, a loop and a 2-cycle cannot be connected by an arc.*

(iii) If $k \geq 3$, a loop and a 3-cycle are disjoint.

(iv) If $k \geq 2$, two 2-cycles are disjoint. If $k \geq 3$, two 2-cycles cannot be connected by an arc.

(v) If $k \geq 2$, there do not exist i, j, t with $i \neq j$ such that $i \rightarrow i$ and $i \rightarrow t$ are arcs, and $i \rightarrow j \rightarrow t$ is a walk.

Proof. We just prove (v) and omit the similar and easy verifications of the remaining items. If $t = j$, (v) is one case in (i); if $t = i$, (v) is one case in (ii). Now we suppose that i, j, t are distinct such that $i \rightarrow i$ and $i \rightarrow t$ are arcs, and $i \rightarrow j \rightarrow t$ is a walk. Then we will have the following two distinct walks of length k from i to t :

$$\begin{cases} i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i \rightarrow j \rightarrow t \\ i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i \rightarrow i \rightarrow t, \end{cases}$$

which contradicts the assumption that $A^k \in M_n\{0, 1\}$. \square

Lemma 2. If $A \in \Gamma(n, k)$ and B is a principal submatrix of A of order m , then $B \in \Gamma(m, k)$.

Proof. Deleting any $n - m$ vertices of $D(A)$ together with the arcs incident with them we obtain a digraph in $\Theta(m, k)$. The result is also clear from the matrix viewpoint. \square

Lemma 3. If $k \geq 2$, then $\gamma(2, k) = 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 (i) and (ii) we have $\gamma(2, k) \leq 2$. Considering any permutation matrix of order 2 we conclude that $\gamma(2, k) = 2$. \square

Lemma 4. If $k \geq 3$, then $\gamma(3, k) = 4$.

Proof. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma(3, k)$. Suppose $f(A) \geq 5$. Considering the distribution of the ones in the four disjoint sets of A 's entries $\{a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}\}$, $\{a_{12}, a_{21}\}$, $\{a_{13}, a_{31}\}$, $\{a_{23}, a_{32}\}$, we deduce that there exist i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 with $\{i_1, j_1\} \neq \{i_2, j_2\}$ and

$$a_{i_1 j_1} = a_{j_1 i_1} = 1 = a_{i_2 j_2} = a_{j_2 i_2}.$$

We distinguish three cases: $i_1 = j_1, i_2 = j_2$; $i_1 = j_1, i_2 \neq j_2$; $i_1 \neq j_1, i_2 \neq j_2$.

Case 1: $i_1 = j_1, i_2 = j_2$. By permutation similarity transform if necessary, without loss of generality (WLOG) we assume $i_1 = j_1 = 1$ and $i_2 = j_2 = 2$. Considering

the principal submatrices of A of order 2, using Lemmas 2 and 3 we have

$$a_{12} = a_{21} = 0, a_{13} + a_{31} + a_{33} \leq 1, a_{23} + a_{32} \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$f(A) = 2 + (a_{13} + a_{31} + a_{33}) + (a_{23} + a_{32}) \leq 4,$$

a contradiction.

Case 2: $i_1 = j_1, i_2 \neq j_2$. WLOG suppose $i_1 = j_1 = 1$. By Lemma 1(ii), $i_2 \neq 1, j_2 \neq 1$. Thus $a_{23} = a_{32} = 1$. Considering $A[2, 3]$ and using Lemmas 2 and 3 we have $a_{22} = a_{33} = 0$. Now

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & 0 & 1 \\ a_{31} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 1(ii), $a_{12} = a_{13} = a_{21} = a_{31} = 0$. Hence $f(A) = 3$, a contradiction.

Case 3: $i_1 \neq j_1, i_2 \neq j_2$. Since $1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 \leq 3$, two of these four indices are equal. This contradicts Lemma 1(iv).

Therefore $f(A) \leq 4$. On the other hand,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(3, k)$$

with $f(B) = 4$. Note that B is idempotent. This proves $\gamma(3, k) = 4$. \square

Lemma 5. *If $k \geq 3$, then $\gamma(4, k) = 6$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists an $A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma(4, k)$ with $f(A) \geq 7$. Denote $w(i) = \sum_{j=1}^4 (a_{ij} + a_{ji}) - a_{ii}$. Recall that $A(i)$ denotes the principal submatrix obtained by deleting the i -th row and i -th column of A . If there is some t with $w(t) \leq 2$, then by Lemma 4,

$$f(A) = w(t) + f(A(t)) \leq 2 + 4 = 6,$$

contradiction. So $w(i) \geq 3$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

We distinguish two cases according to whether $D(A)$ has loops.

Case 1: Each diagonal entry of A is 0. Since the union of the 6 sets

$$\{a_{12}, a_{21}\}, \{a_{13}, a_{31}\}, \{a_{14}, a_{41}\}, \{a_{23}, a_{32}\}, \{a_{24}, a_{42}\}, \{a_{34}, a_{43}\}$$

contains at least 7 entries equal to 1, at least one set contains two 1's. WLOG, suppose $a_{12} = a_{21} = 1$. Note that $w(1) \geq 3$. Using permutation similarity transforms and taking transpose if necessary, there are essentially the following two different cases according to the entries in the first row and first column of A :

$$\text{Subcase 1: } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{Subcase 2: } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Subcase 1. By Lemma 1(iv), $a_{31} = a_{41} = 0$, $a_{23} + a_{32} \leq 1$, $a_{24} + a_{42} \leq 1$, $a_{34} + a_{43} \leq 1$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 & a_{34} \\ 0 & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then from

$$4 + (a_{23} + a_{32}) + (a_{24} + a_{42}) + (a_{34} + a_{43}) = f(A) \geq 7$$

we get

$$a_{23} + a_{32} = 1, a_{24} + a_{42} = 1, a_{34} + a_{43} = 1.$$

If $a_{34} = 1$, since one of a_{24} and a_{42} is equal to 1, we will have two distinct walks of length k with the same endpoints in $D(A)$ as shown below:

$$a_{24} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \\ \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \end{cases}$$

$$a_{42} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \cdots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ \cdots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \end{cases}$$

Here $\cdots \rightarrow$ means a walk of length $k - 3$ constructed by using the cycle $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$. In the sequel we omit similar explanations. So $a_{34} = 0$ and hence $a_{43} = 1$.

Since

$$a_{23} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \\ \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \end{cases}$$

we must have $a_{23} = 0$. Consequently $a_{32} = 1$. But

$$a_{32} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \cdots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ \cdots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \end{cases}$$

Contradiction.

Subcase 2. If $a_{23} = a_{24} = 1$, then interchanging rows 1 and 2 and columns 1 and 2 we transform A to subcase 1. So we need consider only the case when $a_{23} + a_{24} \leq 1$. By Lemma 1(iv), $a_{31} = 0$.

a) Suppose $a_{24} = 1$. Then $a_{23} = 0$. By Lemma 1(iv), $a_{42} = 0$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & 0 & a_{43} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $w(3) \geq 3$, at least two of a_{32}, a_{34}, a_{43} are equal to 1. But $a_{34} = a_{43} = 1$ is impossible by Lemma 1(iv). So $a_{32} = 1$ and exactly one of a_{34} and a_{43} is equal to 1 and the other is equal to 0. From $f(A) \geq 7$ we get $a_{41} = 1$. But then

$$\begin{cases} \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \\ \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2, \end{cases}$$

contradiction.

b) Suppose $a_{24} = 0$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & a_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Lemma 1(iv), $a_{23} + a_{32} \leq 1$, $a_{34} + a_{43} \leq 1$. From

$$3 + (a_{23} + a_{32}) + (a_{34} + a_{43}) + a_{41} + a_{42} = f(A) \geq 7$$

we deduce $a_{41} = a_{42} = 1$, $a_{23} + a_{32} = 1$, $a_{34} + a_{43} = 1$. But

$$a_{23} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1(\rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow 3 \\ 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2(\rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow 3 \end{cases}$$

So $a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{32} = 1$. Again

$$a_{32} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \\ 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \end{cases}$$

Contradiction.

Case 2: There is a diagonal entry equal to 1. WLOG suppose $a_{11} = 1$. Using permutation similarity transform, taking transpose if necessary and noting that $w(1) \geq 3$, there are essentially the following three different cases.

Subcase 1. The first row of A has four 1's. By Lemma 1(v), $a_{ij} = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq 4$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$. Hence $f(A) = 4$, contradiction.

Subcase 2. The first row of A has three 1's. WLOG suppose $a_{12} = a_{13} = 1$. By Lemma 1(v), $a_{ij} = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq 3$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

By Lemma 1(ii), $a_{24} + a_{42} \leq 1$, $a_{34} + a_{43} \leq 1$. Using Lemmas 2 and 3, and considering $A[1, 4]$ we have $a_{41} + a_{44} \leq 1$. Hence

$$f(A) = 3 + (a_{24} + a_{42}) + (a_{34} + a_{43}) + (a_{41} + a_{44}) \leq 6,$$

contradiction.

Subcase 3. The first row of A has two 1's. WLOG suppose $a_{12} = 1$. Using

Lemmas 2 and 3, and considering $A[1, 2]$ we have $a_{21} = a_{22} = 0$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

The case $a_{31} = a_{41} = 1$ can be converted to subcase 2 by taking transpose and using permutation similarity transform. Note that $w(1) \geq 3$. We need consider only the case when exactly one of them is equal to 1. WLOG suppose $a_{31} = 1, a_{41} = 0$. By Lemma 1 (iii) and (i), $a_{23} = a_{33} = 0$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{24} \\ 1 & a_{32} & 0 & a_{34} \\ 0 & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

By Lemma 1(ii), $a_{24} + a_{42} \leq 1, a_{34} + a_{43} \leq 1$. Then from

$$3 + a_{32} + a_{44} + (a_{24} + a_{42}) + (a_{34} + a_{43}) = f(A) \geq 7$$

we obtain

$$a_{32} = a_{44} = 1, a_{24} + a_{42} = 1, a_{34} + a_{43} = 1.$$

By Lemma 1(i) we have $a_{24} = a_{43} = 0$. Now

$$a_{44} = a_{34} = a_{42} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2, \end{cases}$$

contradiction.

We have proved that $\gamma(4, k) \leq 6$. On the other hand,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(4, k)$$

with $f(B) = 6$. Note that B is idempotent. This proves $\gamma(4, k) = 6$. \square

Lemma 6. *If $k \geq 4$, then $\gamma(5, k) = 10$. If $A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma(5, k)$ satisfies $f(A) = 10$, then $a_{ii} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ and $a_{ij} \neq a_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$.*

Proof. Let $B \in \Gamma(5, k)$. Consider the number of 1's in all the five principal submatrices $B(i)$ of B of order 4, $i = 1, \dots, 5$. Each off-diagonal entry of B appears 3 times and each diagonal entry of B appears 4 times in these principal submatrices. Suppose B has d diagonal entries equal to 1. By Lemma 2, every $B(i) \in \Gamma(4, k)$ and Lemma 5 gives $f(B(i)) \leq 6$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$. Thus we have

$$3[f(B) - d] + 4d = \sum_{i=1}^5 f(B(i)) \leq 5 \times 6 = 30.$$

It follows that

$$f(B) \leq 10 - \frac{d}{3} \leq 10.$$

On the other hand, $T_5^4 \in M_5\{0, 1\}$ with one entry equal to 1, $T_5^k = 0$ for $k \geq 5$ and $f(T_5) = 10$. This shows $\gamma(5, k) = 10$.

From the above proof we see that $f(A) = 10$ implies that $a_{ii} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ and $f(A(i)) = 6$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Next we show $a_{ij} \neq a_{ji}$ for $i \neq j$.

We first show that for $i \neq j$, $a_{ij} + a_{ji} \leq 1$. To the contrary suppose there exist $i \neq j$ such that $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 1$. WLOG suppose $a_{12} = a_{21} = 1$. Denote $w(i) = \sum_{j=1}^5 (a_{ij} + a_{ji}) - a_{ii}$. From $10 = f(A) = w(i) + f(A(i))$ and $f(A(i)) = 6$ we have $w(i) = 4$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$. There exists j with $3 \leq j \leq 5$ such that $a_{1j} = a_{j1} = 0$. Otherwise $w(1) \geq 5$. WLOG suppose $a_{15} = a_{51} = 0$. Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 & a_{45} \\ 0 & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $w(5) = 4$, considering the three sets

$$\{a_{25}, a_{52}\}, \{a_{35}, a_{53}\}, \{a_{45}, a_{54}\}$$

we conclude that there exists j such that $a_{j5} = a_{5j} = 1$. By Lemma 1(iv), $j \neq 2$. So $3 \leq j \leq 4$.

Suppose $a_{35} = a_{53} = 1$. By Lemma 1(iv),

$$0 = a_{13} = a_{31} = a_{23} = a_{32} = a_{25} = a_{52}.$$

Thus

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & a_{14} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & a_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} & 1 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 & a_{45} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a_{54} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $f(A(4)) = 4$, which contradicts $f(A(i)) = 6$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. In the same way, $a_{45} = a_{54} = 1$ yields a contradiction.

We have proved that for $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, $a_{ij} + a_{ji} \leq 1$. Note that there are exactly 10 pairs a_{ij}, a_{ji} with $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$. From

$$10 = f(A) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})$$

we deduce that for every pair a_{ij}, a_{ji} with $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$ exactly one of them is equal to 1 and the other is equal to 0. Hence $a_{ij} \neq a_{ji}$ for $i \neq j$. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $k \geq 4$ and $A \in \Gamma(5, k)$. If $f(A) = 10$, then A is permutation similar to T_5 .*

Proof. Let $A = (a_{ij})$. By Lemma 6, $a_{ii} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ and $a_{ij} \neq a_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$.

Denote the row sum $r(i) = \sum_{j=1}^5 a_{ij}$, the number of 1's in the i -th row. Then $r(i) \leq 4$ and $10 = f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^5 r(i)$. To prove Lemma 7 it suffices to show

$$\{r(i) | i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

which is equivalent to $r(i) \neq r(t)$ for $i \neq t$. It is easy to see that under the above conditions A is permutation similar to T_5 . Here and in the sequel we need the fact that a pair of entries in symmetric positions of a matrix remain in symmetric positions under permutation similarity transforms, that is, if matrices $G = (g_{ij})$ and P are of the same order with P a permutation matrix, $PGP^T = (h_{ij})$ and $h_{st} = g_{ij}$, then $h_{ts} = g_{ji}$, and consequently diagonal entries remain on the diagonal under permutation similarity transforms.

We first note that A cannot have two row sums equal to 4. Otherwise there would exist $i \neq j$ such that $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 1$. If there are $i \neq t$ such that $r(i) = r(t) = 0$ or $r(i) = r(t) = 1$ then either there exist $1 \leq u \neq v \leq 5$ such that $r(u) = r(v) = 3$ or 2 or A has three row sums equal to 1. Next we show that all these three cases cannot occur.

Case 1: There exist $i \neq t$ such that $r(i) = r(t) = 3$. WLOG suppose $i = 1, t = 2$ and by permutation similarity transform if necessary we may assume

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} & 0 & a_{45} \\ 1 & 0 & a_{53} & a_{54} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$a_{34} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \\ \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \end{cases}$$

$$a_{43} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \\ \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \end{cases}$$

Hence $a_{34} = a_{43} = 0$ contradicting $a_{34} \neq a_{43}$.

Case 2: There exist $i \neq t$ such that $r(i) = r(t) = 2$. There are essentially the following two cases.

Subcase 1,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ 1 & 0 & a_{43} & 0 & a_{45} \\ 1 & 1 & a_{53} & a_{54} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$a_{34} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dots \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \\ \dots \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$$

$$a_{43} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 (\rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow 3 \\ 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 (\rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow 3 \end{cases}$$

Here we may use the 3-cycle $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$ each of whose vertices dominates 3 to obtain the length k of the two different walks. Hence $a_{34} = a_{43} = 0$ contradicting $a_{34} \neq a_{43}$.

Subcase 2,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ 1 & 0 & a_{43} & 0 & a_{45} \\ 1 & 0 & a_{53} & a_{54} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \dots \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \\ \dots \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$$

Contradiction.

Case 3: A has three row sums equal to 1. WLOG suppose $r(1) = r(2) = r(3) = 1$. Since each pair of $\{a_{12}, a_{21}\}, \{a_{13}, a_{31}\}, \{a_{23}, a_{32}\}$ contains exactly one 1, using the condition $r(1) = r(2) = r(3) = 1$ we deduce that $A[1, 2, 3]$ is a permutation matrix and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & a_{45} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & a_{54} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now the first three column sums are equal to 3. Thus considering the transpose A^T we come to the case 1. This completes the proof. \square

Combining Lemmas 6 and 7 we obtain the following

Lemma 8. *Theorem 1' holds for $n = 5$.*

Lemma 8 is the starting point of our proof of Theorem 1'. Another key ingredient is the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $A \in M_n\{0, 1\}$. If all the principal submatrices of A of order $n - 1$ are permutation similar to T_{n-1} , then A is permutation similar to T_n .*

Proof. The lemma is equivalent to the following proposition:

Let D be a digraph of order at least 4. If $D - v$ is a transitive tournament for all vertices v , then D is a transitive tournament.

We prove this proposition. Clearly D has no loop, since otherwise one of $D - v$ would have a loop. Furthermore, clearly D is a tournament. It is known [3, Corollary 5a] that a tournament is transitive if and only if it is acyclic. Suppose D is not transitive. Then D contains a cycle of length at least 3, and hence contains a strongly connected subtournament D' of order at least 3. By [3, Theorem 7] which states that a strongly connected tournament of order $m \geq 3$ contains a cycle of each length $k = 3, 4, \dots, m$, D' contains a triangle (a cycle of length 3). That triangle is in $D - v$ for some vertex v , which contradicts the condition that $D - v$ is transitive. Thus D is a transitive tournament. \square

Proof of Theorem 1'. We use induction on the order n . For $n = 5$, the result holds by lemma 8. Suppose the result holds for $n = m - 1$, $m \geq 6$. Now we prove the result for $n = m$ with $k \geq m - 1$.

Let $A \in \Gamma(m, k)$. Denote by B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m the m principal submatrices of A of order $m - 1$. Then by Lemma 2 $B_i \in \Gamma(m - 1, k)$ and by our induction hypothesis

$$f(B_i) \leq \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (1)$$

where equality holds if and only if B_i is permutation similar to T_{m-1} .

Now we count the number of 1's in B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m . Each off-diagonal entry of A appears $m - 2$ times and each diagonal entry of A appears $m - 1$ times in these principal submatrices. Suppose A has exactly d diagonal entries equal to 1, $d \geq 0$. Using (1) we have

$$(m-2)[f(A) - d] + (m-1)d = \sum_{i=1}^m f(B_i) \leq m \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} \quad (2)$$

from which follows

$$f(A) \leq \frac{m(m-1)}{2} - \frac{d}{m-2} \leq \frac{m(m-1)}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Thus, (3) shows that $\gamma(m, k) \leq m(m-1)/2$. On the other hand, $T_m^{m-1} \in M_m\{0, 1\}$ with the only 1 in the position $(1, m)$ and $T_m^k = 0$ for $k \geq m$. Hence $T_m \in \Gamma(m, k)$. Also, $f(T_m) = m(m-1)/2$. So $\gamma(m, k) = m(m-1)/2$.

Suppose $A \in \Gamma(m, k)$ satisfies $f(A) = m(m-1)/2$ and the m principal submatrices of A of order $m-1$ are B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m . From (3) we deduce $d = 0$, and further from (2) and (1) we deduce $f(B_i) = (m-1)(m-2)/2$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. This implies that each B_i is permutation similar to T_{m-1} by the equality case condition of (1). Applying Lemma 9 we conclude that A is permutation similar to T_m . Conversely, if A is permutation similar to T_m , then $A \in \Gamma(m, k)$ for $k \geq m-1$ and $f(A) = m(m-1)/2$. This completes the proof. \square

We remark that Theorem 1' does not hold for $1 \leq n \leq 4$. The formula $\gamma(n, k) = n(n-1)/2$ is false for $n = 1, 2, 3$ since $\gamma(1, k) = 1$, $\gamma(2, k) = 2$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\gamma(3, k) = 4$ for $k \geq 3$. The formula $\gamma(n, k) = n(n-1)/2$ is true for $n = 4$ and $k \geq 3$, but this largest number of 1's can be attained at matrices other than the matrices permutation similar to T_4 , say, at

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(4, k).$$

3. $\gamma(n, n-2)$ and $\gamma(n, n-3)$

In this section we apply Theorem 1' to determine $\gamma(n, n-2)$ and $\gamma(n, n-3)$.

Corollary 10. *Let $n \geq 6$. Then*

$$\gamma(n, n-2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \Gamma(n, n-2)$. Suppose B_1, \dots, B_n are the n principal submatrices of A of order $n-1$. By Lemma 2, each $B_i \in \Gamma(n-1, n-2)$. By assumption, $n-1 \geq 5$. Applying Theorem 1' to B_i we have

$$f(B_i) \leq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (4)$$

where equality holds if and only if B_i is permutation similar to T_{n-1} . Suppose A has exactly d diagonal entries equal to 1. Counting the number of 1's in $B_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and using (4) we obtain

$$(n-2)[f(A) - d] + (n-1)d = \sum_{i=1}^n f(B_i) \leq n \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Hence

$$f(A) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

Suppose $f(A) = n(n-1)/2$. Then the inequality in (5) becomes an equality, which implies that (4) becomes an equality for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Consequently every B_i is permutation similar to T_{n-1} . By Lemma 9, A is permutation similar to T_n . But $T_n^{n-2} \notin M_n\{0, 1\}$, so that $A \notin \Gamma(n, n-2)$, contradiction. Thus

$$f(A) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1.$$

On the other hand, denote by $J_{s,t}$ the $s \times t$ matrix with all entries equal to 1 and set

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_{2,n-2} \\ 0 & T_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_n\{0, 1\}.$$

Then $f(A_0) = [n(n-1)/2] - 1$ and

$$A_0^{n-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_{2,1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n\{0, 1\}.$$

This proves $\gamma(n, n-2) = [n(n-1)/2] - 1$. \square

Corollary 11. *Let $n \geq 7$. Then*

$$\gamma(n, n-3) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 2.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \Gamma(n, n-3)$. Suppose B_1, \dots, B_n are the n principal submatrices of A of order $n-1$. By Lemma 2, each $B_i \in \Gamma(n-1, n-3)$. By assumption, $n-1 \geq 6$. Applying Corollary 10 to B_i we have

$$f(B_i) \leq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} - 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

Suppose A has exactly d diagonal entries equal to 1. Counting the number of 1's in $B_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and using (6) we obtain

$$(n-2)[f(A) - d] + (n-1)d = \sum_{i=1}^n f(B_i) \leq n \left[\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} - 1 \right].$$

It follows that

$$f(A) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{n+d}{n-2} < \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1.$$

Since $f(A)$ is an integer, we deduce

$$f(A) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 2.$$

On the other hand, set

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_{2,n-4} & J_{2,2} \\ 0 & T_{n-4} & J_{n-4,2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n\{0, 1\}.$$

We have $f(A_1) = [n(n-1)/2] - 2$ and

$$A_1^{n-3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_{2,2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n\{0, 1\}.$$

This proves $\gamma(n, n-3) = [n(n-1)/2] - 2$. \square

In view of Corollaries 10 and 11, one might conjecture that for $2 \leq k \leq n-2$,

$$\gamma(n, k) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-k-1). \quad (7)$$

This is not the case. As mentioned in the Introduction section, Wu's result [5] on squares already indicates that (7) is false for $k = 2$. In fact, there are other values of $k > 2$ for which (7) is false. Now we show that at least one of $\gamma(10, 4)$ and $\gamma(11, 4)$ does not satisfy (7). To the contrary, suppose both $\gamma(10, 4)$ and $\gamma(11, 4)$ satisfy (7), i.e.,

$$\gamma(10, 4) = 40, \quad \gamma(11, 4) = 49.$$

Let $A \in \Gamma(11, 4)$ and suppose B_1, \dots, B_{11} are the principal submatrices of A of order 10. By Lemma 2, each $B_i \in \Gamma(10, 4)$. By assumption $f(B_i) \leq 40, i = 1, \dots, 11$. Suppose A has exactly d diagonal entries equal to 1. As before we have

$$9[f(A) - d] + 10d = \sum_{i=1}^{11} f(B_i) \leq 11 \times 40.$$

Hence

$$f(A) \leq \frac{440}{9} - \frac{d}{9} \leq \frac{440}{9} < 49.$$

So $\gamma(11, 4) < 49$, a contradiction.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Professor Wayne Goddard and the referee for their detailed suggestions of giving a much simpler proof of Lemma 9.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, GTM 244, Springer, 2008
- [2] R.A. Brualdi and H.J. Ryser, Combinatorial Matrix Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1991
- [3] F. Harary and L. Moser, The theory of round robin tournaments, Amer. Math. Monthly, 73(1966), no.3, 231-246.
- [4] J.W. Moon, Topics on Tournaments, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Montreal, Que.-London, 1968.
- [5] H. Wu, On the 0-1 matrices whose squares are 0-1 matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 432(2010), 2909-2924.